

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EDWARD T. KENNEDY,

Plaintiff,

V.

No. 5:18-cv-00257

COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF THE  
TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE;  
JOHN DOE, DEPARTMENT OF THE  
TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE ,

Defendants.

## ORDER

On June 18, 2018, this Court granted the United States of America’s Motion to Dismiss and dismissed Plaintiff’s Complaint with prejudice. ECF Nos. 25, 26. On June 25, 2018, Plaintiff filed two documents titled “Notice and Objections to Order.” ECF Nos. 32, 33. The Court construes these as motions to reconsider the Court’s Order dismissing Plaintiff’s Complaint. “The purpose of a motion for reconsideration is to correct manifest errors of law or fact or to present newly discovered evidence.” *Harsco Corp. v. Zlotnicki*, 779 F.2d 906, 909 (3d Cir. 1985). Here, Plaintiff has presented no such grounds to reconsider the Court’s Order.

**ACCORDINGLY**, this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of July, it is **ORDERED** that Plaintiff’s “Notices and Objections to Order,” ECF Nos. 32, 33, are **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Joseph F. Leeson, Jr.  
JOSEPH F. LEESON, JR.  
United States District Judge